## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNIT	TED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
<u></u>	Daniel Peraza-Balam	Case Number: <u>11-02970M-001</u>
present and wa	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. s represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 21, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a prepe	onderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
		United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.
The Coat the time of t	he hearing in this matter, except as n	
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	
2.		ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		TIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections for appeal. The do	acility separate, to the extent practicab efendant shall be afforded a reasonab trates or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of the of the motion for review/reconsideration	his detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS F Services suffice	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	e to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial ore the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: <u>Mar</u>	ch 21, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge